

From: ttt3t@aol.com <ttt3t@aol.com>
Sent: 11 June 2019 14:05
To: BMSDC Planning Area Team Blue <planningblue@aberghmidsuffolk.gov.uk>
Subject: Re: MSDC Planning Consultation Request - DC/19/02486

Dear Sirs

Following a meeting of Mickfield Parish Council last evening at which this application was considered, I am writing to advise that Councillor voted unanimously to **OBJECT** to this application for the same reasons as it objected to DC.19.02486 as follows:

1. The proposed development will result in 4 new residential units following the conversion of agricultural buildings lying outside the settlement boundary of Mickfield, which agricultural buildings were in use until March 2016, and represents a significant increase in housing for this heritage village which can offer no facilities such as a shop, public house or school. Councillors were concerned that if this application is approved a precedent for future development outside the settlement boundary would be set, something Councillors are keen to avoid;
2. The access road providing access to and egress from the application site and the nearest adopted highway is not suitable for a development of this size. Creating 4 new residential units will generate a significant increase in traffic movements along the access road particularly as there are no local facilities in Mickfield necessitating journeys out of the village for all requirements which access has no passing places and there is no visibility splay at the junction of the access road and adopted highway posing a serious risk to all road users;
3. The access could not accommodate construction traffic necessary for a development of this nature;
4. The access road is too narrow for emergency vehicles and the nearest water hydrant is at a distance of 1.8 km from the application site which Councillors consider is too great a distance for the number of residential units proposed;
5. The application site is within the curtilage of a Grade II listed farmhouse and Councillors consider that the scale and nature of the proposed development will have a significant negative impact on the farmhouse;
6. Applying the 'Hibbitt threshold' to the barns, the subject of this application, two fail the test meaning that 50% of the site is substantial rebuild, contrary to Local Plan Policy H09; and
7. The site would have an adverse environmental impact on the nature reserve Mickfield Meadow, a designated SSI.

Finally, Councillors noted that the Applicant has not yet submitted all documents to which he refers in the Application.

Regards

Amanda Thompson
Clerk to Mickfield Parish Council

Date: Click here to enter a date.
Our ref: 283823
Your ref: DC/19/02486



Mid Suffolk District Council
planningblue@baberghmidsuffolk.gov.uk

BY EMAIL ONLY

Hornbeam House
Crewe Business Park
Electra Way
Crewe
Cheshire
CW1 6GJ

T 0300 060 3900

Dear Sir or Madam,

Planning consultation: Conversion of 4no. agricultural barns to form 4no. dwellings
Location: Greenwood Farm, Wetheringsett Road, Mickfield, Stowmarket Suffolk IP14 5LL

Thank you for your consultation, which was received by Natural England on 23 May 2019.

Natural England is a non-departmental public body. Our statutory purpose is to ensure that the natural environment is conserved, enhanced, and managed for the benefit of present and future generations, thereby contributing to sustainable development.

SUMMARY OF NATURAL ENGLAND'S ADVICE

NO OBJECTION

Based on the plans submitted, Natural England considers that the proposed development will not have significant adverse impacts on statutorily protected nature conservation sites or landscapes.

Natural England's generic advice on other natural environment issues is set out at Annex A.

Sites of Special Scientific Interest

Based on the plans submitted, Natural England considers that the proposed development will not have likely significant effects on statutorily protected sites and has no objection to the proposed development.

Sites of Special Scientific Interest Impact Risk Zones

The Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (England) Order 2015 requires local planning authorities to consult Natural England on "Development in or likely to affect a Site of Special Scientific Interest" (Schedule 4, w). Our SSSI Impact Risk Zones are a GIS dataset designed to be used during the planning application validation process to help local planning authorities decide when to consult Natural England on developments likely to affect a SSSI. The dataset and user guidance can be accessed from the [data.gov.uk](https://www.data.gov.uk) website

Further general advice on the consideration of protected species and other natural environment issues is provided at Annex A.

We would be happy to comment further should the need arise but if in the meantime you have any queries please do not hesitate to contact us.

For any queries regarding this letter, for new consultations, or to provide further information on this consultation please send your correspondences to consultations@naturalengland.org.uk.

Yours faithfully,

Corben Hastings
Consultations Team

Annex A – Additional advice

Natural England offers the following additional advice:

Landscape

Paragraph 170 of the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) highlights the need to protect and enhance valued landscapes through the planning system. This application may present opportunities to protect and enhance locally valued landscapes, including any local landscape designations. You may want to consider whether any local landscape features or characteristics (such as ponds, woodland or dry stone walls) could be incorporated into the development in order to respect and enhance local landscape character and distinctiveness, in line with any local landscape character assessments. Where the impacts of development are likely to be significant, a Landscape & Visual Impact Assessment should be provided with the proposal to inform decision making. We refer you to the [Landscape Institute Guidelines for Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment](#) for further guidance.

Best and most versatile agricultural land and soils

Local planning authorities are responsible for ensuring that they have sufficient detailed agricultural land classification (ALC) information to apply NPPF policies (Paragraphs 170 and 171). This is the case regardless of whether the proposed development is sufficiently large to consult Natural England. Further information is contained in [GOV.UK guidance](#)

Agricultural Land Classification information is available on the [Magic](#) website on the [Data.Gov.uk](#) website. If you consider the proposal has significant implications for further loss of ‘best and most versatile’ agricultural land, we would be pleased to discuss the matter further.

Guidance on soil protection is available in the Defra [Construction Code of Practice for the Sustainable Use of Soils on Construction Sites](#), and we recommend its use in the design and construction of development, including any planning conditions. Should the development proceed, we advise that the developer uses an appropriately experienced soil specialist to advise on, and supervise soil handling, including identifying when soils are dry enough to be handled and how to make the best use of soils on site.

Protected Species

Natural England has produced [standing advice](#)¹ to help planning authorities understand the impact of particular developments on protected species. We advise you to refer to this advice. Natural England will only provide bespoke advice on protected species where they form part of a SSSI or in exceptional circumstances.

Local sites and priority habitats and species

You should consider the impacts of the proposed development on any local wildlife or geodiversity sites, in line with paragraphs 171 and 174 of the NPPF and any relevant development plan policy. There may also be opportunities to enhance local sites and improve their connectivity. Natural England does not hold locally specific information on local sites and recommends further information is obtained from appropriate bodies such as the local records centre, wildlife trust, geoconservation groups or recording societies.

Priority habitats and Species are of particular importance for nature conservation and included in the England Biodiversity List published under section 41 of the Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act 2006. Most priority habitats will be mapped either as Sites of Special Scientific Interest, on the Magic website or as Local Wildlife Sites. List of priority habitats and species can be found [here](#)². Natural England does not routinely hold species data, such data should be collected when impacts on priority habitats or species are considered likely. Consideration should also be given to the potential environmental value of brownfield sites, often found in urban areas and former industrial land, further information including links to the open mosaic habitats inventory can be found [here](#).

¹ <https://www.gov.uk/protected-species-and-sites-how-to-review-planning-proposals>

² <http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20140711133551/http://www.naturalengland.org.uk/ourwork/conservation/biodiversity/protectandmanage/habsandspeciesimportance.aspx>

Ancient woodland, ancient and veteran trees

You should consider any impacts on ancient woodland and ancient and veteran trees in line with paragraph 175 of the NPPF. Natural England maintains the Ancient Woodland [Inventory](#) which can help identify ancient woodland. Natural England and the Forestry Commission have produced [standing advice](#) for planning authorities in relation to ancient woodland and ancient and veteran trees. It should be taken into account by planning authorities when determining relevant planning applications. Natural England will only provide bespoke advice on ancient woodland, ancient and veteran trees where they form part of a SSSI or in exceptional circumstances.

Environmental enhancement

Development provides opportunities to secure net gains for biodiversity and wider environmental gains, as outlined in the NPPF (paragraphs 8, 72, 102, 118, 170, 171, 174 and 175). We advise you to follow the mitigation hierarchy as set out in paragraph 175 of the NPPF and firstly consider what existing environmental features on and around the site can be retained or enhanced or what new features could be incorporated into the development proposal. Where onsite measures are not possible, you should consider off site measures. Opportunities for enhancement might include:

- Providing a new footpath through the new development to link into existing rights of way.
- Restoring a neglected hedgerow.
- Creating a new pond as an attractive feature on the site.
- Planting trees characteristic to the local area to make a positive contribution to the local landscape.
- Using native plants in landscaping schemes for better nectar and seed sources for bees and birds.
- Incorporating swift boxes or bat boxes into the design of new buildings.
- Designing lighting to encourage wildlife.
- Adding a green roof to new buildings.

You could also consider how the proposed development can contribute to the wider environment and help implement elements of any Landscape, Green Infrastructure or Biodiversity Strategy in place in your area. For example:

- Links to existing greenspace and/or opportunities to enhance and improve access.
- Identifying opportunities for new greenspace and managing existing (and new) public spaces to be more wildlife friendly (e.g. by sowing wild flower strips)
- Planting additional street trees.
- Identifying any improvements to the existing public right of way network or using the opportunity of new development to extend the network to create missing links.
- Restoring neglected environmental features (e.g. coppicing a prominent hedge that is in poor condition or clearing away an eyesore).

Access and Recreation

Natural England encourages any proposal to incorporate measures to help improve people's access to the natural environment. Measures such as reinstating existing footpaths together with the creation of new footpaths and bridleways should be considered. Links to other green networks and, where appropriate, urban fringe areas should also be explored to help promote the creation of wider green infrastructure. Relevant aspects of local authority green infrastructure strategies should be delivered where appropriate.

Rights of Way, Access land, Coastal access and National Trails

Paragraphs 98 and 170 of the NPPF highlights the important of public rights of way and access. Development should consider potential impacts on access land, common land, rights of way, coastal access routes and coastal margin in the vicinity of the development and the scope to mitigate any adverse impacts. Consideration should also be given to the potential impacts on any nearby National Trails, including the England Coast Path. The National Trails website www.nationaltrail.co.uk provides information including contact details for the National Trail Officer.

Biodiversity duty

Your authority has a [duty](#) to have regard to conserving biodiversity as part of your decision making. Conserving biodiversity can also include restoration or enhancement to a population or habitat. Further information is available [here](#).

Mid Suffolk District Council
Planning Department
Endeavour House
Russell Road
Ipswich
IP1 2BX

For the attention of Daniel Cameron

Fire Business Support Team
Floor 3, Block 2
Endeavour House
8 Russell Road
Ipswich, Suffolk
IP1 2BX

Your Ref:
Our Ref: FS/F200911
Enquiries to: Angela Kempen
Direct Line: 01473 260588
E-mail: Fire.BusinessSupport@suffolk.gov.uk
Web Address: <http://www.suffolk.gov.uk>

Date: 04/06/2019

Dear Sirs

Greenwood Farm, Wetheringsett Road, Mickfield IP14 5LL
Planning Application No. DC/19/02486

Under application DC/19/00809 our letter set out information in relation to firefighting access and water which we requested the applicant consider in relation to the proposed work being carried out at Greenwood Farm. We note that the application has been refused and a new application applied submitted.

Under JDC/19/02486 our comments, as follows, remain the same.

Access and Fire Fighting Facilities

Access to buildings for fire appliances and firefighters must meet with the requirements specified in Building Regulations 2000 Approved Document B Volume 1, Part B5, Section 11, in the case of dwelling houses.

50.1.2 Buildings not fitted with fire mains

Houses not fitted with fire mains should allow access for a fire appliance to within 45 m of all points within the house, measured on a route suitable for laying hose.

NOTE: If the internal layout of partitions, fittings, etc. is not known when plans are deposited, direct distances may be used for assessment. The direct distance is taken as two thirds of the travel hose laying distance.

These requirements may be satisfied with other equivalent standards relating to access for firefighting, in which case those standards should be quoted in correspondence.

It is our understanding that the access road will be hard fenced on either side. If this is the case and there should be a suitable turning point for the appliance. The Fire and Rescue Service appliance should not have to reverse more than 20m in distance from the end of the access road.

/Continued

The road, under ADB, should not be less than 3.1m in width through pinch points such as gates.

Suffolk Fire and Rescue Service also requires a minimum carrying capacity for hard standing for pumping appliances of 15 tonnes, not 12.5 tonnes as detailed in the Building Regulations 2000 Approved Document B, 2006 Edition is less than the sizes given in ADB.

Water Supplies for Firefighting

The nearest fire hydrant is approximately 1800m from the proposed development. In order for there to be sufficient water for firefighting purposes, any of the following options would be acceptable.

- Install a fire hydrant within 90m of the proposed, that will provide a sustained outlet discharge of 480 lpm and fitted to BS750 Type 2.
- Fit sprinklers, in accordance with BS 9251:2014 or BS EN 12845 (see **11.2**, Table 2). Where sprinklers are fitted throughout a house or block of flats:
 - a) the distance between the fire appliance and any point within the house (in houses having no floor more than 4.5 m above ground level) may be up to 90 m;
 - b) the distance between the Fire and Rescue Service pumping appliance and any point within the house or flat may be up to 75 m (in houses or flats having one floor more than 4.5 m above ground level).
- Supply an Emergency Water Supply (EWS) by means of a pond or refurbish the moat, and maintain it all year round, especially during the summer months, so that it doesn't run dry. Any EWS should contain a minimum of 45m³ (45,000 litres) of water. The minimum depth required for any supply shall be 1200mm with 1000mm of clear working water (Large ponds, Rivers, lakes etc.).

Yours faithfully

Water Officer

Suffolk Fire and Rescue Service

Copy: all@hollins.co.uk

All planning enquiries should be sent to the Local Planning Authority.

Email: planning@aberghmidsuffolk.gov.uk

The Planning Department
MidSuffolk District Council
Planning Section
1st Floor, Endeavour House
8 Russell Road
Ipswich
Suffolk
IP1 2BX

For the attention of: Daniel Cameron

Dear Daniel,

TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING ACT 1990

CONSULTATION RETURN: DC/19/02486

PROPOSAL: Planning Application - Conversion of 4no. agricultural barns to form 4no. dwellings.

LOCATION: Greenwood Farm Wetheringsett Road Mickfield Stowmarket IP14 5LL

Notice is hereby given that the County Council as Highway Authority make the following comments:

Whilst SCC has no objections to the principle of development, mitigation methods should be implemented to improve the existing situation at this location.

A passing place, in accordance with DM06, would allow for two vehicles to safely pass one another on this narrow carriageway.

In addition to this, a turning area should be provided so that delivery and construction vehicles have the capabilities to enter and exit the highway in a forward facing gear.

Furthermore, information such as: red line drawing denoting access to the highway and blue line site ownership boundary plan should also be submitted. A pragmatic solution may be to seek access to the highway via alternative means however, this option cannot be explored unless this information is submitted.

Yours sincerely,

Kyle Porter
Development Management Technician
Growth, Highways and Infrastructure

From: Lisa De Pasquale <Lisa.DePasquale@suffolk.gov.uk>
Sent: 06 June 2019 13:38
To: BMSDC Planning Mailbox <planning@aberghmidsuffolk.gov.uk>
Subject: Re: DC/19/02486 Greenwood Farm Wetheringsett Road Mickfield Stowmarket

Good afternoon,

Thank you for consulting us on this proposal. In my opinion there would be no significant impact on known archaeological sites or areas with archaeological potential. I have no objection to the development and do not believe any archaeological mitigation is required.

Best wishes,

Lisa

Lisamaria De Pasquale
Assistant Archaeological Officer (Technical Support)
Suffolk County Council Archaeological Service
Bury Resource Centre
Hollow Road
Bury St Edmunds
Suffolk IP32 7AY
Tel.:01284 741230
Email: lisa.depasquale@suffolk.gov.uk

From: Nathan Pittam <Nathan.Pittam@aberghmidsuffolk.gov.uk>
Sent: 10 June 2019 13:04
To: Daniel Cameron <Daniel.Cameron@aberghmidsuffolk.gov.uk>
Cc: BMSDC Planning Area Team Blue <planningblue@aberghmidsuffolk.gov.uk>
Subject: DC/19/02486. Land Contamination

Dear Daniel

EP Reference : 260170
DC/19/02486. Land Contamination
Greenwood Farm, Wetheringsett Road, Mickfield, STOWMARKET, Suffolk, IP14 5LL.
Conversion of 4no. agricultural barns to form 4no. dwellings.

Many thanks for your request for comments in relation to the above application. Having reviewed the Plandescil Phase I report I can confirm that the risks on the site are sufficiently low as to us not to require any additional works by means of condition. The report concludes that it would be prudent to undertake some additional works but on balance of evidence this is something that we will not be requiring by means of condition.

Kind regards

Nathan

Nathan Pittam BSc. (Hons.) PhD
Senior Environmental Management Officer

Babergh and Mid Suffolk District Councils – Working Together

Email: Nathan.pittam@aberghmidsuffolk.gov.uk
Work: 07769 566988 / 01449 724715
websites: www.abergh.gov.uk www.midsuffolk.gov.uk



From: David Harrold
Sent: 29 May 2019 11:41
To: BMSDC Planning Mailbox <planning@aberghmidsuffolk.gov.uk>
Cc: Daniel Cameron <Daniel.Cameron@aberghmidsuffolk.gov.uk>
Subject: Plan ref DC/19/02486 Greenwood Farm, Weatheringsett Road, Mickfield. EH - Noise/Odour/Light/Smoke

Thank you for consulting me on the above application to convert agricultural barns into four dwellings.

I can confirm with respect to noise and other environmental health issues that I do not have any adverse comments and no objection to the proposed development.

I would recommend, however, that construction activity is restricted to between 8am and 6pm weekday, 8am and 1pm Saturday and no working Sundays or Bank Holidays.

Reason: to protect existing residents and future occupiers of the converted dwellings from adverse impacts of construction noise.

David Harrold MCIEH
Senior Environmental Health Officer

Babergh & Midsuffolk District Councils
t: 01449 724718
e: david.harrold@aberghmidsuffolk.gov.uk



12 June 2019

Daniel Cameron
Mid Suffolk District Council
Endeavour House
8 Russell Road
Ipswich IP1 2BX

By email only

Dear Dan,

Thank you for requesting advice on this application from Place Services' ecological advice service. This service provides advice to planning officers to inform Mid Suffolk District Council planning decisions with regard to potential ecological impacts from development. Any additional information, queries or comments on this advice that the applicant or other interested parties may have, must be directed to the Planning Officer who will seek further advice from us where appropriate and necessary.

Application: DC/19/02486

Location: Greenwood Farm Wetheringsett Road Mickfield Stowmarket Suffolk IP14 5LL

Proposal: Planning Application - Conversion of 4no. agricultural barns to form 4no. dwellings.

Thank you for consulting Place Services on the above application.

No objection subject to securing biodiversity mitigation and enhancement measures

Summary

We have reviewed the Ecological survey and Construction Management Environmental Plan: Biodiversity (JP Ecology Ltd, November 2018) and the Bat and Barn Owl Survey (Essex Mammal Surveys, June 2018), provided by the applicant, relating to the likely impacts of development on Protected & Priority species / habitats.

We are satisfied that there is sufficient ecological information available for determination.

This provides certainty for the LPA of the likely impacts on Protected and Priority species/habitats and, with appropriate mitigation measures secured, the development can be made acceptable. This will enable LPA to demonstrate its compliance with its statutory duties including its biodiversity duty under s40 NERC Act 2006.

However, due to the access restrictions to the ponds to conduct additional surveys for Great Crested Newts, we recommend that an ecologist should be present on site during the site clearance proposed to be conducted in the winter months. This additional mitigation is necessary as Great Crested Newts hibernate in terrestrial habitat being cleared and therefore could be present and



affected by the proposed works. It will also ensure that other potential impacts to Protected and Priority species will likely be avoided.

In addition, we also support the reasonable biodiversity enhancements, which have been recommended to secure measurable net gains for biodiversity, as highlighted within Paragraph 170d of the National Planning Policy Framework 2019. The reasonable biodiversity enhancement measures should be outlined within a Biodiversity Enhancement Strategy to be secured prior to commencement.

This Biodiversity Enhancement Strategy should include the provision of a Barn Owl, due to historic evidence that barn has been used by the specie. It should also include appropriate recommendations to enhance the adjacent ponds within the landowner's control, as well as, create the proposed new pond within the site, as this would likely have the greatest benefit for local aquatic wildlife.

Impacts will be minimised such that the proposal is acceptable subject to the conditions below based on BS42020:2013.

Submission for approval and implementation of the details below should be a condition of any planning consent.

Recommended conditions

1. ACTION REQUIRED IN ACCORDANCE WITH ECOLOGICAL APPRAISAL RECOMMENDATIONS

"All mitigation measures and/or works shall be carried out in accordance with the details contained in Ecological survey and Construction Management Environmental Plan: Biodiversity (JP Ecology Ltd, November 2018), as already submitted with the planning application and agreed in principle with the local planning authority prior to determination.

This must also include the appointment of an appropriately competent person e.g. an ecological clerk of works (ECoW,) to provide on-site ecological expertise during the site clearance in the winter months. The appointed person shall undertake all activities, and works shall be carried out, in accordance with the approved details."

Reason: To conserve Protected and Priority species and allow the LPA to discharge its duties under the UK Habitats Regulations 2017, the Wildlife & Countryside Act 1981 as amended and s40 of the NERC Act 2006 (Priority habitats & species).

2. PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT: SUBMISSION OF A COPY OF THE EPS LICENCE FOR BATS

"The following works to convert the 'long barn' and 'Cow shed' to dwellings shall not commence unless the local planning authority has been provided with either:

- a) *a licence issued by Natural England pursuant to Regulation 55 of The Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017 authorizing the specified activity/development to go ahead; or*
- b) *a statement in writing from the relevant licensing body to the effect that it does not consider that the specified activity/development will require a licence."*



Reason: To conserve Protected and Priority species and allow the LPA to discharge its duties under the UK Habitats Regulations 2017, the Wildlife & Countryside Act 1981 as amended and s40 of the NERC Act 2006 and s17 Crime & Disorder Act 1998.

3. PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT: BIODIVERSITY ENHANCEMENT STRATEGY

"A Biodiversity Enhancement Strategy for Protected/Priority species and Habitat creation shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the local planning authority, following the recommendations as contained within the Ecological survey and Construction Management Environmental Plan: Biodiversity (JP Ecology Ltd, November 2018) and the Bat and Barn Owl Survey (Essex Mammal Surveys, June 2018).

The content of the Biodiversity Enhancement Strategy shall include the following:

- a) Purpose and conservation objectives for the proposed enhancement measures;
- b) detailed designs and strategies to achieve stated objectives;
- c) locations of proposed enhancement measures by appropriate maps and plans;
- d) persons responsible for implementing the enhancement measures;
- e) details of initial aftercare and long-term maintenance (where relevant).

The works shall be implemented in accordance with the approved details and shall be retained in that manner thereafter."

Reason: To enhance Protected and Priority Species/habitats and allow the LPA to discharge its duties under the s40 of the NERC Act 2006 (Priority habitats & species).

4. PRIOR TO OCCUPATION: WILDLIFE SENSITIVE LIGHTING DESIGN SCHEME

"A lighting design scheme for biodiversity shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the local planning authority. The scheme shall identify those features on site that are particularly sensitive for bats and that are likely to cause disturbance along important routes used for foraging; and show how and where external lighting will be installed (through the provision of appropriate technical specifications) so that it can be clearly demonstrated that areas to be lit will not disturb or prevent bats using their territory.

All external lighting shall be installed in accordance with the specifications and locations set out in the scheme and maintained thereafter in accordance with the scheme. Under no circumstances should any other external lighting be installed without prior consent from the local planning authority."

Reason: To allow the LPA to discharge its duties under the UK Habitats Regulations 2017, the Wildlife & Countryside Act 1981 as amended and s40 of the NERC Act 2006 (Priority habitats & species)

Please contact us with any queries.

Yours sincerely,

Hamish Jackson BSc (Hons) GradCIEEM MRSB
Junior Ecological Consultant
ecology.placeservices@essex.gov.uk



Place Services provide ecological advice on behalf of Mid Suffolk District Council

Please note: This letter is advisory and should only be considered as the opinion formed by specialist staff in relation to this particular matter.



Consultation Response Pro forma

1	Application Number	DC/19/02486 (DC/18/03497 – DC/17/00989)						
2	Date of Response	02.06.2019						
3	Responding Officer	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Name:</td> <td>Sacha Tiller</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Job Title:</td> <td>Housing Enabling</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Responding on behalf of...</td> <td>Housing Strategy</td> </tr> </table>	Name:	Sacha Tiller	Job Title:	Housing Enabling	Responding on behalf of...	Housing Strategy
Name:	Sacha Tiller							
Job Title:	Housing Enabling							
Responding on behalf of...	Housing Strategy							
4	Recommendation (please delete those N/A) Note: This section must be completed before the response is sent. The recommendation should be based on the information submitted with the application.	<p>No objection</p> <p>The total no. of dwelling space is under 1,000sqm.</p> <p>Should this sqm change then planning permission should be re-sought.</p>						
5	Discussion Please outline the reasons/rationale behind how you have formed the recommendation. Please refer to any guidance, policy or material considerations that have informed your recommendation.	Planning application purports to Conversion of 4no. agricultural barns to form 4no. dwellings.						
6	Amendments, Clarification or Additional Information Required (if holding objection) If concerns are raised, can they be overcome with changes? Please ensure any requests are proportionate							
7	Recommended conditions	Site has been described as 0.47 hectre. Should this change we should be consulted immediately.						

Please note that this form can be submitted electronically on the Councils website. Comments submitted on the website will not be acknowledged but you can check whether they have been received by reviewing comments on the website under the application reference number. Please note that the completed form will be posted on the Councils website and available to view by the public.

From: Karolien Yperman <Karolien.Yperman@baberghmidsuffolk.gov.uk>
Sent: 14 June 2019 15:21
To: Daniel Cameron <Daniel.Cameron@baberghmidsuffolk.gov.uk>
Cc: BMSDC Planning Area Team Blue <planningblue@baberghmidsuffolk.gov.uk>
Subject: DC/19/02486 Greenwood Farm, Wetheringsett Road, Mickfield

Hi Dan,

As this is a re-submission of a previous planning application, with only minor changes to the design of Barn 5, our comments on DC/19/00809 and DC/19/00810 still stand. We would recommend that the relevant conditions noted in our previous response are attached.

Recommended conditions:

- Manufacturers details of all external cladding and roofing materials for all barns should be submitted.
- Large scale elevations of proposed fenestration and doors in Barn 3 and 5 should be submitted.
- A landscaping condition to show any ground surface and boundary treatments.

Thanks,
Karolien

Karolien Yperman BA(Hons) MA
Heritage and Design Officer
Babergh and Mid Suffolk District Councils – Working Together

T: 01449 724820
T: 07850 883258
E: [karolien.yperman@baberghmidsuffolk.gov.uk](mailto:karyljen.yperman@baberghmidsuffolk.gov.uk)
E: heritage@baberghmidsuffolk.gov.uk
www.babergh.gov.uk & www.midsuffolk.gov.uk

